Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/549,318	10/27/95	ADAMS	J	1448.0120002
				EXAMINER
STERNE KESS	GLER GOLDST	12M2/0508 EIN & FOX	ARY ONE	PAPER NUMBER
1100 NEW YO	DRK AVENUE DC 20005-3		1201	9
			DATE MAILED:	/ 05/08/97
This is a communication COMMISSIONER OF P		charge of your application. EMARKS		
This application has	s been examined	Responsive to communication filed on_	Q-6-97	This action is made fina
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire				
Part I THE FOLLOW	NG ATTACHMENT(S	i) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:		
3. Notice of Art	ferences Cited by Exa t Cited by Applicant, P on How to Effect Draw			Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 nt Application, PTO-152.
Part II SUMMARY O	F ACTION			
1 D Claims	-89			are pending in the application
i. C. Cianto	(1-7,11-14,21.	-27,29,30,43-45,47-49,51 -10,29,28-31-42,49,59,54,56,5	53,55,57,63	ica , parts) and
			18-6-2, 61-84 W	
2. Claims			<u> </u>	have been cancelled.
3. Claims				are allowed.
				:
5. Claims 1-71	1-19,21-27,2	9,30,43-45,47-49,51-53,55,	गुदरुष्ट	are objected to.
6. 2 Claims 1 -	89		_are subject to restric	tion or election requirement.
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.				
8. Formal drawing	gs are required in resp	conse to this Office action.		
9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).				
		e sheet(s) of drawings, filed on raminer (see explanation).	has (have) been	approved by the
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed has been approved; disapproved (see explanation).				
12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received been filled in parent application, serial no; filled on				
13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
14. Other Ov	the Ron	m 1449, only refe	rences u	lare
a copy h	as been s	upplied lange been		
(baked a	4.			

Serial Number: 08/549,318 -2-

Art Unit: 1201

In response to the restriction requirement (election of species) applicant# has elected the species of compound MG341 with traverse. The claims are inclusive of patentably distinct subject matter. With the election of a species, the examiner will identify a generic concept inclusive of said species for examination. The generic concept as depicted in claim 1 where P is pyrazinecarbonyl, R is H and alkyl, A is zero, R^2 and R^3 are H, alkyl, cycloalkyl, aryl, CH₂-R⁵ each optionally substituted by non heterocyclic groups, R^{5} is aryl, aralkyl, alkenyl each optionally substituted by non heterocyclic groups, X^2 is C(0)NH, Z^1 and Z^2 are OH, alkoxy and aryloxy and pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof, is identified for examination along with the elected embodiment. The remaining subject matter of (1) claims 1-7, 11-19, 21-24, 25-27, 29, 30, 43-45, 47-49, 51-53, 55, 57, 63, 66 and (2) the subject matter of claims 8-10, 20, 28, 31-42, 46, 50, 54, 56, 58-62 and 67-89 stands withdrawn from further consideration under 37 CFR 1.142(b) as constituting other patentably distinct inventions.

The withdrawn subject matter of (1) and (2) (the compounds) is properly restricted as said subject matter differs in structure and element from the elected subject matter so as to be patentably distinct therefrom, i.e. a reference which anticipated but the elected subject matter would not even render obvious the

Serial Number: 08/549,318

Art Unit: 1201

withdrawn subject matter and the fields of search are not coextensive.

The withdrawn subject matter of claims 67-89 is properly restricted as the products of claims 1-66 are capable of more than one use, are drawn to a different statutory category (products) than that of claims 67-89 and are patentably distinct one from the other.

Accordingly, the claims are drawn to more than a single invention and restriction thereof is proper, 37 CFR 1.142(a).

Claims 1-7, 11-19, 21-24, 25-27, 29, 30, 43-45, 47-49, 51-53, 55, 57, 63 and 66 are objected to as containing non elected subject matter. The objection may be overcome by limiting the claims to the subject matter indicated as being examinable, supra. Claims so limited would appear allowable, if they avoid any claim duplication, i.e. more than one claim claiming the exact same subject matter.

Ramsuer:st May 03, 1997

Robert W. Ramsner Art Unit 1201